

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,206	07/24/2003	Harry Israel Ringermacher	120631-1	4236
6147	7590 02/15/2005		, EXAMINER	
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY GLOBAL RESEARCH			VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN	
+-+	CKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NISKAYUNA	A, NY 12309		DATE MAILED: 02/15/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\overline{}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(M)
	10/627,206	RINGERMACHER ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gail Verbitsky	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r t. reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed ly (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	rication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	· ·		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-14 and 25-27 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 15 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 16-24 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 and 25-27 are subject to rest	s/are withdrawn from conside		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		4	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	·		101/4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	/	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	)

Application/Control Number: 10/627,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zalameda et al. (U.S. 20030193987) [hereinafter Zalameda].

Zalameda discloses in Fig. 1 a thermography IR imaging device comprising a flash lamp 54a, 54b heating an object, an IR camera 55 configured to capture plurality of images/ frames, a shutter electronics (actively quenching means) 56 configured to shut the flash lamps and thus, to actively cool them.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy et al. (U.S. 20020018510) [hereinafter Murphy] in view of Osial et al. (U.S. 3555449) [hereinafter Osial].

Application/Control Number: 10/627,206 Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

Murphy discloses in Fig. 1 a thermography IR imaging device comprising a laser (heater) heating an object, an IR camera (focal plane array), inherently, configured to capture plurality of images/ frames.

Murphy does not explicitly teach that the heater is a flash lamp being actively quenched/ cooled, as stated in claim 15.

Osial discloses a laser system comprising a flash lamp disposed within a heating laser chamber (heating means). The flash lamp provides excitation energy to the laser. This would imply, that the flash lamp, by means of the laser, provides a heating energy to an object to be heated by the laser, the flash lamp being actively cooled (water cooled) so as to remove an undesired heat and thus, to prolong life of the device.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the laser, as taught by Murphy with a heating means having an actively cooled flash lamp, as taught by Osial, because A) both of them are alternate heating means which will perform the same function, of heating an object of interest, if one is replaced with the other, B) having the heating means including an actively cooled flash lamp will prolong the life of the device, as already suggested by Osial.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/627,206 Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

5. Claims 16-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Election/Restrictions

6. Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 15-24 (Invention of Group II) in the reply filed on June 29, 2004 is hereby acknowledged.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in the PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related devices and methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner Verbitsky who can be reached at (571) 272-2253 Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:00 ET.

**GKV** 

Gail Verbitsky

Primary Patent Examiner, TC 2800

February 10, 2005